UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - - - - - - - - - - X

In re : Chapter 11

DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

: -----x

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007 DISALLOWING AND EXPUNGING CERTAIN (A) DUPLICATE OR AMENDED CLAIMS, (B) UNTIMELY CLAIMS NOT REFLECTED ON DEBTORS' BOOKS AND RECORDS, (C) UNTIMELY CLAIMS, AND (D) CLAIMS SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION AND MODIFIED CLAIM ASSERTING RECLAMATION IDENTIFIED IN TWENTY-SIXTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

("TWENTY-SIXTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION ORDER")

Upon the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims, (B) Untimely Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claims, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification And Modified Claim Asserting Reclamation, dated February 15, 2008 (the "Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection"), of Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"); and upon the record of the hearing held on the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection.

05-44481-rdd Doc 12686-12 Filed 02/15/08 Entered 02/15/08 19:28:26 Proposed Order Pg 2 of 7

## IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:<sup>2</sup>

- A. Each holder of a claim, as such term is defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(5) (as to each, a "Claim"), listed on Exhibits A, B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2, D-1, and D-2 hereto was properly and timely served with a copy of the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection, a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim, a copy of the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"), the proposed order granting the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection. No other or further notice of the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection is necessary.
- B. This Court has jurisdiction over the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims

  Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims

  Objection is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). Venue of these cases and the

  Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- C. The Claims listed on Exhibit A hereto under the column heading "Claim To Be Expunged" are either duplicates of other Claims filed with this Court or have been amended or superseded by later-filed Claims (the "Duplicate Or Amended Claims").

2

Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052.

- D. The Claims listed on Exhibit B-1 contain liabilities or dollar amounts that are not reflected on the Debtors' books and records and were also untimely filed pursuant to the Bar Date Order (the "Untimely Books And Records Claims").
- E. The Claims listed on Exhibit B-2 hereto, which were filed by taxing authorities, contain liabilities and dollar amounts that are not reflected on the Debtors' books and records and were also untimely filed pursuant to the Bar Date Order (the "Untimely Books And Records Tax Claims").
- F. The Claims listed on <u>Exhibit C-1</u> hereto were untimely filed pursuant to the Bar Date Order (the "Untimely Claims").
- G. The Tax Claims listed on <u>Exhibit C-2</u> hereto, which were filed by taxing authorities, were untimely filed pursuant to the Bar Date Order (the "Untimely Tax Claims").
- H. The Claims listed on Exhibit D-1 hereto (a) state the incorrect amount or are overstated, and/or (b) were filed and docketed against the wrong Debtors, and/or (c) incorrectly assert secured or priority status (the "Claims Subject To Modification").
- I. The Claim listed on Exhibit D-2 hereto (a) (i) states the incorrect amount and is overstated, including as a result of the assertion of invalid unliquidated claims, and (ii) was filed and docketed against the wrong Debtor, and (iii) incorrectly asserts priority status and (b) asserts a reclamation demand and the Claimant is deemed to have consented to the Debtors' determination of the valid amount of the reclamation demand (with respect to (b), a "Reclamation Agreement"), subject to the Debtors' right to seek, at any time and notwithstanding the Claimant's agreement or consent to the amount pursuant to the relevant Reclamation Agreement, a judicial determination that certain reserved defenses with respect to the reclamation demand are valid (the "Modified Claim Asserting Reclamation").

J. The relief requested in the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. Each "Claim To Be Expunged" listed on Exhibit A hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety. Those Claims identified on Exhibit A hereto as "Surviving Claims" shall remain on the Debtors' claims register, but shall remain subject to future objection by the Debtors and other parties-in-interest.
- 2. Each Untimely Books And Records Claim listed on Exhibit B-1 hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
- 3. Each Untimely Books And Records Tax Claim listed on Exhibit B-2 hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
- 4. Each Untimely Claim listed on Exhibit C-1 hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
- 5. Each Untimely Tax Claim listed on Exhibit C-2 hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
- 6. Each "Claim As Docketed" amount, classification, and Debtor listed on Exhibit D-1 hereto is hereby revised to reflect the amount, classification, and Debtor listed as the "Claim As Modified." No Claimant listed on Exhibit D-1 hereto shall be entitled to (a) recover for any Claim Subject to Modification in an amount exceeding the dollar value listed as the "Modified Total" of the Claim, and/or (b) assert a classification that is inconsistent with that listed in the "Claim As Modified" column, and/or (c) assert a Claim against a Debtor whose case

05-44481-rdd Doc 12686-12 Filed 02/15/08 Entered 02/15/08 19:28:26 Proposed Order Pg 5 of 7

number is not listed in the "Claim As Modified" column on Exhibit D-1 hereto, subject to the Debtors' right to further object to each such Claim Subject to Modification. The Claims Subject to Modification shall remain on the claims register, and shall remain subject to future objection by the Debtors and other parties-in-interest.

- Exhibit D-2 hereto is hereby revised to reflect the amount, classification, and Debtor listed as the "Claim As Modified." The Claimant listed on Exhibit D-2 shall not be entitled to (a) recover for the Modified Claim Asserting Reclamation in an amount exceeding the dollar value listed as the "Modified Total" of the Claim, unless the Debtors obtain an order of this Court providing that any Reserved Defense is valid and denying priority status to such Claimant's reclamation demand, and/or (b) assert a classification that is inconsistent with that listed in the "Claim As Modified" column on Exhibit D-2 hereto, and/or (c) assert a Claim against a Debtor whose case number is not listed in the "Claim As Modified" column on Exhibit D-2 hereto, subject to the Debtors' right to further object to such Modified Claim Asserting Reclamation. The Modified Claim Asserting Reclamation shall remain on the claims register, and shall remain subject to future objection by the Debtors and other parties-in-interest.
- 8. For clarity, <u>Exhibit E</u> hereto displays the formal name of each of the Debtor entities and their associated bankruptcy case numbers referenced on <u>Exhibits D-1</u> and <u>D-2</u>.
- 9. Entry of this order is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object, on any grounds whatsoever, to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases or to further object to Claims that are the subject of the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection; <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, that solely to the extent that (a) a claimant filed duplicative claims against different Debtors for

05-44481-rdd Doc 12686-12 Filed 02/15/08 Entered 02/15/08 19:28:26 Proposed Order Pg 6 of 7

the same asserted obligation (the "Multiple Debtor Duplicative Claims") and (b) certain of such claimant's Multiple Debtor Duplicative Claims are being disallowed and expunged hereby, if one of the Multiple Debtor Duplicative Claims was originally filed against the correct Debtor, the Debtors shall not seek to have the claimant's remaining Multiple Debtor Duplicative Claim (the "Remaining Claim") disallowed and expunged solely on the basis that such Remaining Claim is asserted against the incorrect Debtor. For the avoidance of doubt, except as expressly provided in the preceding sentence, the Remaining Claims shall remain subject to further objection on any grounds whatsoever, including, without limitation, that any such Remaining Claim is asserted against the incorrect Debtor if the claimant did not file a Multiple Debtor Duplicative Claim against the correct Debtor. Nothing contained herein shall restrict the Debtors from objecting to any Remaining Claim or restrict any holder of a Remaining Claim from seeking relief from this Court for the purposes of requesting that this Court modify the Remaining Claim to assert such Remaining Claim against a different Debtor.

- 10. Nothing contained herein shall constitute, nor shall it be deemed to constitute, the allowance of any Claim asserted against any of the Debtors.
- 11. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Debtors and the holders of Claims subject to the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.
- 12. Each of the objections by the Debtors to each Claim addressed in the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection and attached hereto as Exhibits A, B-1,B-2, C-1, C-2, D-1, and D-2 constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014. This order shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each Claim that is the subject of the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection. Any stay of this order shall apply only to the

05-44481-rdd Doc 12686-12 Filed 02/15/08 Entered 02/15/08 19:28:26 Proposed Order Pg 7 of 7

contested matter which involves such Claim and shall not act to stay the applicability or finality

of this order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby.

13. Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC is hereby directed to serve this order,

including exhibits, in accordance with the Claims Objection Procedures Order.

14. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for

the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and

filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Twenty-Sixth Omnibus

Claims Objection.

Dated: New York, New York

March \_\_\_\_, 2008

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

7